# PREPARATION OF URANIUM TRIFLUORIDE AND STUDIES ON ITS DISPROPORTIONATION

K.N. ROY, RAJENDRA PRASAD, M. BHUPATHY, V. VENUGOPAL, ZILEY SINGH and D.D. SOOD \*

Radiochemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Bombay-400 085 (India)

(Received 30 July 1980)

#### ABSTRACT

The reduction of UF<sub>4</sub> to UF<sub>3</sub> by molecular hydrogen has been studied. At hydrogen flow rates which yield a constant  $H_2/HF$  ratio in the outlet gas stream, the optimum conversion temperature range is 1070—1125 K. Disproportionation studies on UF<sub>3</sub> have also been carried out in the temperature range 1169—1360 K. The variation of specific disproportionation rate (k) with temperature can be represented by

 $\log_{10}k(h^{-1}) = (5.07 \pm 0.17) - (5842 \pm 211)/T(K)$ 

#### INTRODUCTION

Uranium trifluoride is prepared mainly as a reduction product of uranium tetrafluoride. The reductants used have been molecular [1-3] or atomic [4] hydrogen, finely divided uranium metal [4-7], aluminium [3,8], silicon [9] and zinc amalgam [10]. The use of metallic reductants is likely to introduce metallic impurities and for this reason use of hydrogen as reductant is preferable. However, the product is likely to be contaminated with UF<sub>4</sub> and U, as UF<sub>3</sub> is known to disproportionate on heating according to the reaction

 $4\langle UF_3\rangle \rightleftharpoons 3\langle UF_4\rangle + \langle U\rangle$ 

The disproportionation reaction has been reported to begin at 973 K [11], to be appreciable at 1273 K [8], and to be of the order of 20 mole % h<sup>-1</sup> at 1773 K [12]. However, no systematic study of the disproportionation reaction has been made. In the present work preparation of pure UF<sub>3</sub> by H<sub>2</sub> reduction of UF<sub>4</sub> in the temperature range 1070–1180 K and disproportionation studies in the temperature range 1169–1360 K have been carried out.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

## Materials

Uranium tetrafluoride used in the present work was prepared from nuclear grade reactive uranium dioxide (>99.95 mass %) by the action of anhydrous hydrogen fluoride at 800 K. Its purity was checked by X-ray diffraction technique and pyrohydrolysis (estimated F = 24.1 mass %, theoretical 24.2 mass %).

Hydrogen and argon gases used in all the experiments were purified by passing separately through towers of molecular sieves and hot titanium sponge (1170 K) to remove moisture and oxygen, respectively. The moisture and oxygen levels in purified gases were less than 1 ppm. Purification of gases was essential for preventing the following reactions

 $\langle UF_4 \rangle + 2 (H_2 \widehat{\phantom{O}}) \rightarrow \langle UO_2 \rangle + 4 (HF)$  $2 \langle UF_4 \rangle + (O_2) \rightarrow \langle UO_2F_2 \rangle + (UF_6)$ 

## Apparatus

The reaction vessel for  $UF_3$  preparation was made from a 75 mm inner diameter nickel-200 pipe and the sample was contained in a  $75 \times 50 \times 20$ mm nickel boat. For disproportionation studies, a 10 mm i.d. nickel tube was used as reaction vessel, as shown in Fig. 1. A chromel—alumel thermocouple placed inside a 6 mm i.d. nickel thermowell was used to measure the sample temperature. The thermocouple was calibrated at the melting temperature [13] of bismuth (544.5 K), antimony (904 K), and silver (1234 K). A Kanthal wire wound furnace with a flat zone of 130 mm (±1.0 K) was used for the work. The temperature of the furnace was controlled to ±0.5 K using a proportional temperature controller.



Fig. 1 Apparatus for the disproportionation studies on UF<sub>3</sub>.

## Procedure for $UF_3$ preparation

A weighed amount of UF<sub>4</sub> (~20 g) was taken in a nickel boat and placed inside the reaction vessel. The assembly was then evacuated to  $10^{-3}$  Torr and the sample gradually heated to 550 K, when purified argon was introduced and the sample further heated to reaction temperature (1070–1125 K). When the temperature became constant, argon was replaced by purified hydrogen. The hydrogen flow rate was controlled using a calibrated differential oil manometer. The flow rates ranged between 600 and 700 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. The unreacted hydrogen and the HF formed were passed through a fluorolube oil bubbler (to avoid back diffusion of moisture) and through a bubbler having a known quantity of standard NaOH. The progress of the reaction was followed by measuring the time required for the neutralisation of known quantities of alkali by HF in the effluent gas. When the quantity of HF in the effluent gas became insignificant, H<sub>2</sub> flow was replaced by

Analysis

X-Ray diffraction studies on the product revealed peaks for UF<sub>3</sub> alone and none for UF<sub>4</sub> or UO<sub>2</sub>. Pyrohydrolysis of the product at 1073 K yielded a fluorine value of (F = 19.2 ± 0.2) mass % as compared to the theoretical value of 19.3 mass %. The product of pyrohydrolysis (U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) was weighed which gave U = (80.4 ± 0.2) mass % in UF<sub>3</sub> as compared to the theoretical value of 80.68 mass %.

argon and the furnace was cooled. The product consisted of shining black crystals. Several batches of UF<sub>4</sub> have been converted to UF<sub>3</sub> in this manner.

#### Procedure for measuring disproportionation of UF<sub>3</sub>

A weighed amount of UF<sub>3</sub> in a molybdenum boat was placed inside the nickel reaction vessel and rapidly heated to the desired temperature in a current of high purity hydrogen passing at a constant flow rate (100-120 ml min<sup>-1</sup>). This flow rate range was obtained by determining the flow rate 'plateau' for HF where the mole ratio of HF/H<sub>2</sub> remains constant irrespective of hydrogen flow rate. The UF<sub>4</sub> produced as a result of disproportionation of UF<sub>3</sub> was reconverted to UF<sub>3</sub> by the hydrogen stream and the quantity of HF gave the extent of disproportionation. The outgoing gas mixture (H<sub>2</sub> + HF) was bubbled through fluorolube oil and the quantity of HF was determined as described earlier.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

All preparations of  $UF_3$  carried out previously by this method have been performed at 1273 K with little success. The failures were attributed mainly to insufficiently purified hydrogen or to the use of porcelain or quartz apparatus [4]. Even in cases where purified hydrogen and monel apparatus were used, the poor yields can be attributed to the sintering of  $UF_4$  at this tem-



Fig. 2. Plot of percentage UF<sub>4</sub> converted against time.

perature which is close to the melting temperature of  $UF_4$  [14]. Also when this reduction reaction was carried out at a lower temperature (1180 K) during the course of this work, some interesting observations were made. With a UF<sub>4</sub> charge of 40 g having a bed depth of 5 mm the reaction procseded at a constant rate up to 60 mole % conversion. Thereafter HF evolution became negligible. Examination of the charge showed that it had fused. The fused mass was powdered and reloaded into the reaction vessel. The reaction was then continued to completion at a lower temperature (1120 K). However, the total quantity of HF determined was more than the theoretical value, due to the disproportionation of  $UF_3$ . The phase diagram for the UF<sub>3</sub>-UF<sub>4</sub> [15] system indicates the formation of a eutectic at 33 mole %UF<sub>3</sub> at 1152 K. Thus any attempt to prepare UF<sub>3</sub> above 1152 K will lead to extremely slow reaction rates, because of the appearance of the liquid phase. Another reason to consider 1273 K to be unsuitable for reduction is the appreciable disproportionation rate of UF<sub>3</sub> at this temperature. Hence, in this work reduction studies were carried out only in the temperature range 1075-1125 K using H<sub>2</sub> flow rates in the range where the number of moles of HF evolved per litre of  $H_2$  passed were constant. These limiting flow rates were found to be 600-700 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. It was necessary to work within these limits in order to carry out kinetic studies.

TABLE 1

<i>T</i> (K)	$k \text{ (mole \% h^{-1})}$	
1169.0	1.17	
1191.5	1.58	
1229.5	2.07	
1239.5	2.13	
1263.0	2.64	
1291.0	3,48	
1333.0	5,20	
1360.0	5.86	

Disproportionation of solid uranium trifluoride

The progress of the reaction during typical runs at 1073 and 1123 K using approximately 9 g of UF<sub>4</sub> spread out in a thin layer (~1 mm) is depicted in Fig. 2. From Fig. 2 it is evident that the reaction rate is constant up to 65 mole % UF<sub>3</sub>. Thereafter the rate is no longer linear, indicating that diffusion effects predominate. Thus this reaction represents a typical gas—solid reaction in which the rate of HF removal from the reaction site is the rate controlling step.

Disproportionation studies on  $UF_3$  have been carried out in the temperature range 1169–1360 K. The rate of disproportionation reaction was measured at each temperature by determining the quantity of HF evolved over a known period of time. The number of moles of  $UF_3$  disproportionated can be evaluated using the following reaction

$$4 \langle UF_3 \rangle + 3/2 (H_2) \rightleftharpoons 3 \langle UF_3 \rangle + 3 (HF) + \langle U \rangle$$

Thus for each mole of HF evolved 4/3 moles of UF<sub>3</sub> will disproportionate. Knowing the initial mass of UF<sub>3</sub> taken and the quantity of HF evolved over a definite period of time, the disproportionation rate in mole % UF<sub>3</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> was calculated. These data at various temperatures are given in Table 1. The relation between the rate and temperature is given by

$$\log_{10}k(h^{-1}) = (5.07 \pm 0.17) - \frac{(5842 \pm 211)}{T(K)}$$

Enthalpy of activation is thus determined as 26.7 kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> and the entropy of activation is -54.5 cal K<sup>-1</sup> mole<sup>-1</sup>. This large negative value for the entropy term is responsible for the slow rate of disproportionation even at high temperatures.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Mr. D.M. Chackraburtty and Dr. N.C. Jayadevan for supporting the studies by X-ray diffraction analysis, and to Dr. P.R. Natarajan, Head, Radiochemistry Division, for his interest in this work.

## REFERENCES

- 1 H.J. Spencer-Palmer, UKAEA Rep. BR-422, 1944.
- 2 J.C. Warf, USAEC Rep. AECD-2413, 1948.
- 3 I.V. Tananaev, N.S. Nikolaev, Yu.A. Lukyanychev and A.A. Opalovskii, Russ. Chem. Rev., 30 (1961) 654.
- 4 J.J. Katz and E. Rabinowitch, The Chemistry of Uranium, National Nuclear Energy Series Div. VIII, Vol. 5, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1951, p. 351
- 5 A.S. Newton, J.C. Warf, O. Johnson and R. Nottorf, USAEC Rep. CC-1201, 1944.
- 6 J.C. Warf, USAEC Rep. CC-1525, 1955.
- 7 H.A. Friedman, C.F Weaver and W.R. Grimes, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 32 (1970) 3131.
- 8 D.J.C. Runnals, Can. J. Chem., 31 (1953) 694.
- 9 J.M. Juneja, S.P. Garg, R. Prasad and D.D. Sood, International Symposium on

Thermodynamics of Nuclear Materials, IAEA-SM-236/29, 1979.

- 10 British Patent No. 865270 (1961), U.S. Pat. 3,034,855 (1962).
- 11 L.O. Gilpatrick, USAEC Rep. ORNL-1376 (1952).
- 12 R.W.M. D'eye and F.S. Martin, J. Chem. Soc., (1957) 1847.
- 13 International Practical Temperature Scale of 1968, Metrologia 12 (1976) 7.
- 14 K. Nagarajan, M. Bhupathy, R. Prasad, V. Venugopal, Z. Singh and D.D. Sood, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 12 (1980) 329.
- 15 L.A. Khripin, S.A. Poduzova and G.M. Zadneprovskii, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 13 (1968) 1439.